



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/945,471	08/30/2001	Daryle Lee Petersen	11738.00029	3237
22908 7	7590 08/11/2004		EXAM	INER
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
TEN SOUTH	WACKER DRIVE			
SUITE 3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	L 60606		3763	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/11/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/945,471	PETERSEN, DARYLE LEE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Catherine S. Williams	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 May 2004.		
_	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un			
Disposition of Claims			
4)	hdrawn from consideration. rejected.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Apper priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sui		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/04;5/13/04. 	(8) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/945,471

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

The indicated allowability of claims 21-27 and 39-54 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Odland (US Pat# 6,030,358) and GB 1567122.

Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 21-27 and 39-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Odland (US Pat# 6,030,358). Odland discloses a microcatheter and method for specific site therapy. The reference teaches two catheters (90); the catheters having a tubular section with a solid section (see figure 7 and 9:30-32) and at least two diffusion sections (43); the two diffusion sections are longitudinally aligned (see figure 7); a manifold (126 see figure 15 and 9:62-10:13); and a pump (10:6). The catheter includes

Application/Control Number: 09/945,471

Art Unit: 3763

an outer tubular wall and an inner tubular wall (42) where the inner tubular wall is lined with a microporous membrane (22). The outer around of the microporous membrane has an interference fit with the inner tubular wall of the outer tubular wall. See figure 4 and 8:7+. The pump is shown as an external pump but may be implantable. See 11:9-11. The catheter may be radioopaque. See 10:29-32.

The claims additionally recite the steps of "identifying the selected sites"; "selecting two catheters"; "placing each catheter in the organism"; "connecting the proximal end of the catheter to a manifold"; and "actuating the pump". While these steps are not recited verbatim in the text of the reference, they are steps that are necessary for carrying out the proper functioning of the prior art device. Without each of these steps being performed, the prior art device as taught cannot be used and function as specified.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Odland in view of GB 1567122. Odland meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the tubular section of the catheters having alternating solid and microporous membrane sections with the tubular section having a substantially uniform diameter.

However, '122 discloses a catheter with an alternating solid and microporous sections with a solid distal tip (30). See figure 3. The tip is specified as being closed but is depicted with the same cross hatchings as the solid sections 10 so the tip (30) is also construed as being solid. The design and configuration of the catheter is for ease of insertion into a selected site. See page 2 lines 45-49.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to substitute the catheter arrangement (42 and 22) of Odland with the catheter of '122. Both catheter designs are for ease of insertion into a patient. Additionally, both catheters have a tubular section with solid portions and porous sections for the transfer of fluid. The motivation for the substitution of the '122 catheter into the device of Odland would have been to simplify the catheter design by providing a streamline catheter design (one catheter tube) for the two tube arrangement of Odland.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 703-308-4846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,471 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams August 9, 2004

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700